



Working on the frontline

Sue Lightfoot discusses the impacts of secondary traumatic stress

Introduction

There has been increasing attention over the past several years to the effects on staff of working in emergency situations, with traumatised clients or with very stressful distressing material. Secondary traumatic stress (STS), sometimes called vicarious traumatisation (McCann and Pearlman, 1990), can be defined as the impact on a worker of repeated exposure to traumatic client material (Steed and Downing, 1998), or the stress reaction that comes from assisting or wanting to assist a traumatised person (Beaton and Murphy, 1995). In research literature a variety of terms may be used interchangeably: traumatic countertransference (Danieli, 1980), compassion fatigue or secondary traumatic stress disorder (Munroe, Shay, Fisher, Makary, Rapperport and Zimering, 1995).

STS is most commonly seen in frontline staff such as social workers, crisis and trauma counsellors, law enforcement officers, rescue workers, and medical staff (Beaton and Murphy, 1995). In these positions, exposure to occupational trauma is generally frequent and repetitive, but it may not be directly experienced. Other employees in these organisations, such as dispatchers, typists or supervisors, may also be vulnerable because the traumatic event is still

‘absorbed’ (Beaton and Murphy, 1995). No one is immune from STS (Collins and Long, 2003; Munroe et al, 1995), and it should be emphasised that this condition is different from burn-out or other forms of occupational stress (Kassam-Adams, 1995). The concept of burn-out was originally proposed by Maslach (Bell, Kulkarni and Dalton, 2003), as a process of occupational stress that results when social service professionals become emotionally

exhausted from high levels of work demands, particularly in interpersonal work, and poor levels of organisational or structural support to assist in meeting those demands. The effects are clear in three

dimensions (Bell et al, 2003).

1. Emotional exhaustion.
2. Negative attitudes towards clients and a personal detachment.
3. Reduced work performance and a lowered commitment to the profession.

Symptoms of post-traumatic stress are not generally present in burn-out.

Effects on workers

Detrimental effects are more likely to occur if the workers have their own history of traumatic experience, especially with events from their

■ ■ ■ ■ ■
 This condition is different
 from burn-out or other
 forms of occupational stress
 ■ ■ ■ ■ ■

childhoods (Follette, Polusny, and Milbeck, 1994; Kassam-Adams, 1995). New therapists/workers are also more likely to experience detrimental effects and there is an interaction effect between the 'newness' of the worker and any personal trauma history (Collins and Long, 2003).

Effects can be apparent in all areas of functioning. In the cognitive area, there may be shifts in areas of dependence/trust (chronic suspicion of others), safety (heightened sense of vulnerability and risk), power (increased sense of helplessness) and independence (feelings of loss of power and control) (Collins and Long, 2003). In the psychological area, there are typically indicators of psychological distress or dysfunction, including traumatic symptoms such as intrusive imagery, numbing or avoidance, feelings of guilt (for enjoying life while survivors struggle) or victim-blaming (for making the worker feel this way), and feelings of isolation, alienation and lack of appreciation (Collins and Long, 2003).

Emotionally, a key indicator is 'compassion fatigue', which is emotional exhaustion or emotional detachment and distancing (Munroe et al, 1995; Thomas and Wilson, 2004). But there are physical symptoms, too. These typically include somatic complaints, headaches and gastrointestinal problems, and symptoms of physiological arousal, such as palpitations and hypervigilance (Collins and Long, 2003). Behaviourally, there may be relationship problems with partners and children (Beaton and Murphy, 1995; Collins and Long, 2003), compulsive behaviours (Collins and Long, 2003), and addictions or substance abuse (Beaton and Murphy, 1995).

It is likely the worker's professional life will show the effects of STS through impairment in day-to-day functioning, poor professional judgements, missed and/or cancelled appointments, a decreased use of supervision, chronic lateness, and general problems with their professional relationships (Collins and Long, 2003). Workers won't necessarily recognise their own symptoms, especially if working alone (Munroe et al, 1995).

Effects on workers' families

Research has indicated that a worker's family may also be subject to the effects of STS. A worker's partner may experience symptoms similar to post-traumatic stress (Nelson and Wright, 1996; Waysman et al, 1993), or be placed in a situation of having to 'look after' their partners and cope with their psychological symptoms (Nelson and Wright, 1996). Partners may be more likely to have unmet psychological and emotional needs (Nelson and Wright, 1996). There may also be increased risk for conflict and violence in the relationship (Nelson and Wright, 1996; Waysman, Mikulincer, Solomon and Weisenberg, 1993), or emotional abuse (Nelson and Wright, 1996).

For workers' children, there may be 'trauma transmission', either from frequently hearing about events or through experiencing a conspicuous silence about an event a child knows their parent was involved in (Nelson and Wright, 1996). Research has revealed children may develop post-traumatic stress symptoms (Dan, 1996; Rosenheck and Nathan, 1985) and/or somatic complaints, anxiety, social and attention problems, and aggressive tendencies from living with a parent who is working in

■ ■ ■ ■ ■
For workers' children,
there may be 'trauma
transmission'

■ ■ ■ ■ ■

the area of trauma (Dan, 1996; Rosenheck and Nathan, 1985).

Social services field

There is now a reasonable amount of research detailing effects for those who work in the area of child protection or with issues such as child abuse. Research involving child protection social workers revealed secondary trauma in 37 per cent of workers, with strong relationships between personal and work factors (Cornille and Meyers, 1999). Research with child welfare social workers has also found a link between a personal history of primary trauma, childhood abuse or neglect, and a heightened risk for STS (Nelson-Gardell and Harris, 2003). Research with sexual abuse counsellors indicates these workers feel the effects of STS (Steed and Downing, 1998) and there is also a significant impact on forensic interviewers (Conte, 2002).

Effective prevention

There are strategies that will lessen the likelihood that STS will occur. Working in teams, rather than alone is a strong protective factor (Bell et al, 2003; Munroe et al, 1995; Trippany, Kress, and Wilcoxon, 2004). Good clinical supervision is invaluable for balancing a worker's frame of reference, assisting the worker in early identification of potential traumatic impacts, providing emotional support, and educating staff about these processes in a way that is responsive to individual needs (Bell et al, 2003; Steed and Downing, 1998). Education and awareness programmes about the possible impact of STS are also an effective strategy (Bell et al., 2003; Collins and Long, 2003; Steed and Downing, 1998; Trippany et al, 2004). Access to confidential counselling will assist workers who are more vulnerable or who notice the symptoms

of STS (Collins and Long, 2003), and regular clinical supervision is another very important feature of worker support (Bell et al, 2003; Collins and Long, 2003; Trippany et al, 2004).

A working environment that values staff and promotes their care, such as by monitoring workloads and providing effective administration, is essential (Bell et al, 2003; Collins and Long, 2003; Edwards, Burnard, Coyle, Fothergill and Hannigan, 2000; Trippany et al, 2004). These measures assist to promote a culture where staff feel supported and comfortable in accessing services (Collins and Long, 2003; Trippany et al, 2004). Opportunities for staff to debrief informally and create peer support groups, as well as more structured arrangements such as critical incident stress debriefing, are all valuable ingredients of an organisation's response to protecting staff against the effects of chronic, recurring and traumatic stress (Bell et al, 2003; Collins and Long, 2003). Staff who are confident in their own professional expertise and competence are less likely to suffer the effects of STS (Edwards et al, 2000).

Workers must also take responsibility for their own self-care strategies. Personal coping mechanisms such as good eating, sleeping and exercise, as well as pursuing enjoyable activities outside their professional lives, are all protective factors (Bell et al, 2003; Steed and Downing, 1998; Trippany et al, 2004; West, 1997).

How a worker perceives the nature of their work may also represent a protective factor. Family violence counsellors were less likely to develop symptoms of STS who had a personal sense of competence and coping, their own positive role models of coping, resolved any personal trauma, and were able to maintain an objective motivation (Bell, 2003). A sense of coherence in

life and good levels of social support may also be protective factors (Ortlepp and Friedman, 2002). A 'buffering' spiritual belief, or other sense of meaning about life and experience, has similarly been found to assist workers to manage the effects of trauma work (Bell et al, 2003; Trippany et al, 2004; West, 1997).

When detrimental impacts do occur, a range of services, including individual counselling, family psycho-education, support groups, and couple or family therapy, may all be appropriate (Nelson and Wright, 1996). It is vital that workers take advantage of these services and that workplaces ensure this is possible. When the social services take care of their workers, best practice is achieved and better outcomes for clients.

REFERENCES

- Beaton, R and Murphy, S. (1995) 'Working with people in crisis: Research implications.' In C. Figley (ed) *Compassion Fatigue: Coping with Secondary Traumatic Stress Disorder in those who treat the traumatised*. Brunner/Mazel, Inc. Philadelphia.
- Bell, H, Kulkarni, S and Dalton, L. (2003) 'Organisational prevention of vicarious trauma.' In *Families in Society*, 84. Pp 463-470.
- Collins, S and Long, A. (2003) 'Working with the psychological effects of trauma: Consequences for mental health-care workers – a literature review.' In *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 10. Pp 417-424.
- Cornille, T and Meyers, T. (1999) 'Secondary traumatic stress among child protective service workers: Prevalence, severity and predictive factors.' *Traumatology*, 5 (1).
- Dan, E. (1996) 'Secondary traumatisation in the adolescent offspring of Vietnam veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder.' In *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 55. The Fielding Institute. US.
- Danieli, Y. (1980) 'Countertransference in the treatment and study of holocaust survivors and their children.' In *Victimology: An International Journal*, 5. Pp 355-367.
- Edwards, D, Burnard, P, Coyle, D, Fothergill, A and Hannigan, B. (2000) 'Stress and burnout in community mental health nursing: A review of the literature.' In *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 7. Pp 7-14.
- Follette, V, Polusny, M and Milbeck, K. (1994) 'Mental health and law enforcement professionals: Trauma history, psychological symptoms and impact of providing services to child sexual abuse survivors.' In *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 25. Pp 275-282.
- Kassam-Adams, N. (1995) 'The risks of treating sexual trauma: Stress and secondary trauma in psychotherapists.' In *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 55. The Fielding Institute. US.
- McCann, I and Pearlman, L. (1990) 'Vicarious traumatisation: A framework for understanding the psychological effects of working with victims.' In *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 3. Pp 131-149.
- Munroe, J, Shay, J, Fisher, L, Makary, C, Rapperport, K and Zimering, R. (1995) 'Preventing compassion fatigue: A team treatment model.' In C. Figley (ed) *Compassion Fatigue: Coping with Secondary Traumatic Stress Disorder in those who treat the traumatised*. Brunner/Mazel, Inc. Philadelphia.
- Nelson, B and Wright, D. (1996) 'Understanding and treating post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms in female partners of veterans with PTSD.' In *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 22. Pp 455-467.
- Nelson-Gardell, D and Harris, D. (2003) 'Childhood abuse history, secondary traumatic stress and child welfare workers.' In *Child Welfare*, LXXXII. P 1.
- Ortlepp, K and Friedman, M. (2002) 'Prevalence and correlates of secondary traumatic stress in workplace lay trauma counsellors.' In *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 15. Pp 213-222.
- Steed, L and Downing, R. (1998) 'A phenomenological study of vicarious traumatisation amongst psychologists and professional counsellors working in the field of sexual abuse/assault.' In *The Australasian Journal of Disaster and Trauma Studies*.
- Thomas, R and Wilson, J. (2004) 'Issues and controversies in the understanding and diagnosis of compassion fatigue: Vicarious traumatisation and secondary traumatic stress disorder.' In *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health*, 6. Pp 81-92.

Trippany, R, Kress, V and Wilcoxon, S. (2004)
'Preventing vicarious trauma: What counsellors
should know when working with trauma survivors.'
In *Journal of Counselling and Development*, 82.
Pp 31-37.

Waysman, M, Mikulincer, M, Solomon, Z and
Weisenberg, M. (1993) 'Secondary traumatisation
among wives of post-traumatic combat veterans: A
family typology.' In *Journal of Family Psychology*, 7.
Pp 104-118.

West, J. (1997) 'Caring for ourselves: The impact
of working with abused children.' In *Child Abuse
Review*, 6. Pp 291-297.



*Sue Lightfoot is a senior
psychologist and Acting Manager at
Specialist Services, Child, Youth and
Family, Tauranga.*